Public Data-based Report

Validation Report Service

Cryo-EM Map Validation Report

Report to assess Cryo-EM Volume Map at Level(s) 0

This report has been generated based on data publicly available at EMDB.

Basic Entry Information:

EMDB ID: EMD-20560
Title: Atomic Structure of the Human Herpesvirus 6B Capsid and Capsid-Associated Tegument Complexes
Authors: See EMDB entry link
Deposited on: 2019-08-03T00:00:00
Reported Resolution: 3.77 Å

Contact Us:

Instruct Image Processing Center (I^2PC)
Biocomputing Unit (BCU)
i2pc@cnb.csic.es
VRS Website

National Center for Biotechnology (CNB)
St/ Darwin, 3 (Autonomous University of Madrid)
28049 Cantoblanco, Madrid (Spain)

Last update: **May 27, 2026, 1:22pm**

Context

Cryo-electron microscopy is currently one of the most active techniques in Structural Biology. The number of maps deposited at the Electron Microscopy Data Bank is rapidly growing every year and keeping the quality of the submitted maps is essential to maintain the scientific quality of the field. The ultimate quality measure is the consistency of the map and an atomic model. However, this is only possible for high resolution maps. Over the years there have been many suggestions about validation measures of 3DEM maps. Unfortunately, most of these measures are not currently in use for their spread in multiple software tools and the associated difficulty to access them. To alleviate this problem, we made available a validation grading system that evaluate the information provided to assess the map.
This system grades a map from 0 to 5 depending on the amount of information available. In this way, a map could be validated at Level 0 (the deposited map), 1 (two half maps), 2 (2D classes), 3 (particles), 4 (... + angular assignment), 5 (... + micrographs and coordinates). In addition, we can have three optional qualifiers: A (... + atomic model), W (... + image processing workflow), and O (... + other techniques). To know more about this service read this paper

This Validation Report Service uses Scipion (see this link for more detail) as workflow engine and ChimeraX (see this link for more detail) to generate the 3D views. For more information about the different methods and softwares used for this report, see the references here.

SCIPION

Summarized overall quality

The map seems to have some problem in its centering or extra space (see Sec. 2.1). There seems to be a problem with the suggested threshold (see Sec. 2.2). There seems to be a problem with the map's background (see Sec. 2.3).

The overall score (passing tests) of this report is 4 out of 7 evaluable items.

0.a Mass analysis	Sec. 2.1	5 warnings
0.b Mask analysis	Sec. 2.2	1 warnings
0.c Background analysis	Sec. 2.3	2 warnings
0.d B-factor analysis	Sec. 2.4	OK
0.e DeepRes	Sec. 2.5	Could not be measured
0.f LocBfactor	Sec. 2.6	OK
0.g LocOccupancy	Sec. 2.7	OK
0.h DeepHand	Sec. 2.8	OK

Summary of the warnings across sections.

Section 2.1 (0.a Mass analysis)

1. **The volume might be significantly decentered in Z.**
2. **There could be little space from X left to effectively correct for the CTF.**
3. **There could be little space from Y left to effectively correct for the CTF.**
4. **There could be little space from X right to effectively correct for the CTF.**
5. **There could be little space from Y right to effectively correct for the CTF.**

Section 2.2 (0.b Mask analysis)

1. **There might be a problem of connectivity at this threshold because more than 5 connected components are needed to reach 95% of the total mask. Probably a smaller threshold will not cause this issue.**

Section 2.3 (0.c Background analysis)

1. **The null hypothesis that the background mean is 0 has been rejected because the p-value of the comparison is smaller than 0.001**
2. **There is a significant proportion of outlier values in the background (cdf5 ratio=18768.43)**

Contents

1 Input data **6**

2 Level 0 analysis **13**

2.1 Level 0.a Mass analysis 13
2.2 Level 0.b Mask analysis 14
2.3 Level 0.c Background analysis 20
2.4 Level 0.d B-factor analysis 21
2.5 Level 0.e Local resolution with DeepRes 24
2.6 Level 0.f Local B-factor 24
2.7 Level 0.g Local Occupancy 27
2.8 Level 0.h Hand correction 30

1 Input data

Input map: emd_20560.map
SHA256 hash: 4801dd19b2cce0d7624d53689212b39c7a1d1f1487cd716df504c83f769335d3
Voxel size: 1.085000 (Å)
Visualization threshold: 0.025000
Resolution estimated by user: 3.77

Orthogonal slices of the input map

Explanation:
In the orthogonal slices of the map, the noise outside the protein should not have any structure (stripes going out, small blobs, particularly high or low densities, ...)

Results:
See Fig. 1.



(a) X Slice 250 (b) Y Slice 250



(c) Z Slice 250

Figure 1: Central slices of the input map in the three dimensions

Orthogonal slices of maximum variance of the input map

Results:

See Fig. 2.

(a) X Slice 241



(b) Y Slice 267



(c) Z Slice 164

Figure 2: Slices of maximum variation in the three dimensions

Orthogonal projections of the input map

Explanation:
In the projections there should not be stripes (this is an indication of directional overweighting, or angular attraction), and there should not be a dark halo around or inside the structure (this is an indication of incorrect CTF correction or the reconstruction of a biased map).

Results:
See Fig. 3.



(a) X Projection



(b) Y Projection



(c) Z Projection

Figure 3: Projections in the three dimensions

Isosurface views of the input map

Explanation:

An isosurface is the surface of all points that have the same gray value. In these views there should not be many artifacts or noise blobs around the map.

Results:
See Fig. 4.

(a) View 1

(b) View 2

(c) View 3

Figure 4: Isosurface at threshold=0.025000. Views generated by ChimeraX at a the following X, Y, Z angles: View 1 (0, -90, -90), View 2 (-90, 0, -90), View 3 (0, 0, 0).

Orthogonal slices of maximum variance of the mask with hard borders

Explanation:
The mask with hard borders has been calculated at the suggested threshold 0.025000, the largest connected component was selected, and then dilated by 2Å.

Results:
See Fig. 5.



(a) X Slice 236



(b) Y Slice 272



(c) Z Slice 165

Figure 5: Slices of maximum variation in the three dimensions of the mask with hard borders

Orthogonal slices of maximum variance of the mask with soft borders

Explanation:

The mask with soft borders has been calculated at the suggested threshold 0.025000, the largest connected component was selected, and then dilated by

2Å.

Results:
See Fig. 6.

(a) X Slice 237 (b) Y Slice 274



(c) Z Slice 152

Figure 6: Slices of maximum variation in the three dimensions of the mask with soft borders

2 Level 0 analysis

2.1 Level 0.a Mass analysis

Explanation:
The reconstructed map must be relatively well centered in the box, and there should be at least 30Å (the exact size depends on the CTF) on each side to make sure that the CTF can be appropriately corrected.

Results:
The space from the left and right in X are 1.08 and 6.51 Å, respectively. There is a decentering ratio (abs(Right-Left)/Size)% of 1.00%

The space from the left and right in Y are 7.59 and 2.17 Å, respectively. There is a decentering ratio (abs(Right-Left)/Size)% of 1.00%

The space from the left and right in Z are 110.67 and 232.19 Å, respectively. There is a decentering ratio (abs(Right-Left)/Size)% of 22.40%

The center of mass is at (x,y,z)=(250.10,249.99,167.81). The decentering of the center of mass (abs(Center)/Size)% is 0.02, 0.00, and 16.44, respectively.

Automatic criteria: The validation is OK if 1) the decentering and center of mass less than 20% of the map dimensions in all directions, and 2) the extra space on each direction is more than 20% of the map dimensions. For local reconstruction, focused refinement, or similar, warnings are expected.

WARNINGS: 5 warnings

1. **The volume might be significantly decentered in Z.**
2. **There could be little space from X left to effectively correct for the CTF.**
3. **There could be little space from Y left to effectively correct for the CTF.**
4. **There could be little space from X right to effectively correct for the CTF.**
5. **There could be little space from Y right to effectively correct for the CTF.**

2.2 Level 0.b Mask analysis

Explanation:
The map at the suggested threshold should have most of its mass concentrated in a single connected component. It is normal that after thresholding there are a few thousands of very small, disconnected noise blobs. However, there total mass should not exceed 10%. The raw mask (just thresholding) and the mask constructed for the analysis (thresholding + largest connected component + dilation) should significantly overlap. Overlap is defined by the overlapping coefficient (size(Raw AND Constructed)/size(Raw)) that is a number between 0 and 1, the closer to 1, the more they agree.

Results:

Raw mask: At threshold 0.025000, there are 5688 connected components

with a total number of voxels of 2776674 and a volume of 3546615.50 Å^3 (see Fig. 7). The size and percentage of the total number of voxels for the raw mask are listed below (up to 95% of the mass or the first 100 clusters, whatever happens first), the list contains (No. voxels (volume in Å^3), percentage, cumulated percentage):

(2501219 (3194779.83), 90.08, 90.08)(7352 (9390.63), 0.26, 90.34)(5847 (7468.31), 0.21, 90.56)(5776 (7377.62), 0.21, 90.76)(4453 (5687.77), 0.16, 90.92)(4357 (5565.15), 0.16, 91.08)(4341 (5544.71), 0.16, 91.24)(4259 (5439.97), 0.15, 91.39)(4168 (5323.74), 0.15, 91.54)(4046 (5167.91), 0.15, 91.69)(3396 (4337.67), 0.12, 91.81)(3025 (3863.80), 0.11, 91.92)(2702 (3451.24), 0.10, 92.01)(2416 (3085.93), 0.09, 92.10)(2355 (3008.02), 0.08, 92.19)(2132 (2723.18), 0.08, 92.26)(2113 (2698.91), 0.08, 92.34)(2069 (2642.71), 0.07, 92.41)(2025 (2586.51), 0.07, 92.49)(2006 (2562.24), 0.07, 92.56)(2004 (2559.69), 0.07, 92.63)(1974 (2521.37), 0.07, 92.70)(1794 (2291.46), 0.06, 92.77)(1672 (2135.63), 0.06, 92.83)(1641 (2096.03), 0.06, 92.89)(1605 (2050.05), 0.06, 92.94)(1593 (2034.72), 0.06, 93.00)(1570 (2005.34), 0.06, 93.06)(1541 (1968.30), 0.06, 93.11)(1466 (1872.51), 0.05, 93.17)(1247 (1592.78), 0.04, 93.21)(1227 (1567.23), 0.04, 93.26)(1150 (1468.88), 0.04, 93.30)(1137 (1452.28), 0.04, 93.34)(1110 (1417.79), 0.04, 93.38)(1078 (1376.92), 0.04, 93.42)(1023 (1306.67), 0.04, 93.45)(1005 (1283.68), 0.04, 93.49)(1000 (1277.29), 0.04, 93.53)(986 (1259.41), 0.04, 93.56)(960 (1226.20), 0.03, 93.60)(890 (1136.79), 0.03, 93.63)(874 (1116.35), 0.03, 93.66)(869 (1109.96), 0.03, 93.69)(862 (1101.02), 0.03, 93.72)(849 (1084.42), 0.03, 93.75)(842 (1075.48), 0.03, 93.78)(842 (1075.48), 0.03, 93.81)(840 (1072.92), 0.03, 93.84)(837 (1069.09), 0.03, 93.87)(828 (1057.60), 0.03, 93.90)(820 (1047.38), 0.03, 93.93)(816 (1042.27), 0.03, 93.96)(815 (1040.99), 0.03, 93.99)(807 (1030.77), 0.03, 94.02)(800 (1021.83), 0.03, 94.05)(795 (1015.44), 0.03, 94.08)(791 (1010.34), 0.03, 94.11)(784 (1001.39), 0.03, 94.13)(782 (998.84), 0.03, 94.16)(781 (997.56), 0.03, 94.19)(779 (995.01), 0.03, 94.22)(755 (964.35), 0.03, 94.25)(754 (963.08), 0.03, 94.27)(747 (954.13), 0.03, 94.30)(734 (937.53), 0.03, 94.33)(720 (919.65), 0.03, 94.35)(717 (915.82), 0.03, 94.38)(715 (913.26), 0.03, 94.40)(711 (908.15), 0.03, 94.43)(701 (895.38), 0.03, 94.45)(701 (895.38), 0.03, 94.48)(697 (890.27), 0.03, 94.50)(687 (877.50), 0.02, 94.53)(684 (873.67), 0.02, 94.55)(673 (859.62), 0.02, 94.58)(671 (857.06), 0.02, 94.60)(667 (851.95), 0.02, 94.63)(654 (835.35), 0.02, 94.65)(648 (827.68), 0.02, 94.67)(638 (814.91), 0.02, 94.70)(637 (813.63), 0.02, 94.72)(635 (811.08), 0.02, 94.74)(631 (805.97), 0.02, 94.77)(631 (805.97), 0.02, 94.79)(630 (804.69), 0.02, 94.81)(617 (788.09), 0.02, 94.83)(610 (779.15), 0.02, 94.85)(607 (775.31), 0.02, 94.88)(607 (775.31), 0.02, 94.90)(591 (754.88), 0.02, 94.92)(589

(752.32), 0.02, 94.94)(586 (748.49), 0.02, 94.96)(547 (698.68), 0.02, 94.98)(545 (696.12), 0.02, 95.00)

Number of components to reach 95% of the mass: 95

The average size of the remaining 5593 components is 24.82 voxels (1.28 Å^3). Their size go from 545 voxels (696.12 Å^3) to 1 voxels (1.28 Å^3).

The slices of the raw mask can be seen in Fig. 7.



(a) X Slice 240 (b) Y Slice 303



(c) Z Slice 165

Figure 7: Maximum variance slices in the three dimensions of the raw mask

The following table shows the variation of the mass enclosed at different thresholds (see Fig. 8):

Threshold	Voxel mass	Molecular mass(kDa)	# Aminoacids
0.0050	10931228.00	11567.80	105161.85
0.0100	7737918.00	8188.53	74441.20
0.0150	5253466.00	5559.40	50539.99
0.0199	3733961.00	3951.41	35921.88
0.0249	2789746.00	2952.21	26838.23
0.0299	2083441.00	2204.77	20043.36
0.0349	1519956.00	1608.47	14622.45
0.0399	1073816.00	1136.35	10330.45
0.0449	727580.00	769.95	6999.55
0.0498	470910.00	498.33	4530.30
0.0548	289475.00	306.33	2784.84
0.0598	167643.00	177.41	1612.78
0.0648	91285.00	96.60	878.19
0.0698	46961.00	49.70	451.78
0.0748	22676.00	24.00	218.15
0.0797	10318.00	10.92	99.26
0.0847	4475.00	4.74	43.05
0.0897	1932.00	2.04	18.59
0.0947	818.00	0.87	7.87
0.0997	303.00	0.32	2.91
0.1047	114.00	0.12	1.10
0.1096	47.00	0.05	0.45
0.1146	14.00	0.01	0.13
0.1196	3.00	0.00	0.03



Figure 8: Voxel mass as a function of the gray level.

Constructed mask: After keeping the largest component of the previous mask and dilating it by 2Å, there is a total number of voxels of 12019688 and a volume of 15352616.77 Å^3. The overlap between the raw and constructed mask is 0.90.

Automatic criteria: The validation is OK if 1) to keep 95% of the mass we need to keep at most 5 connected components; and 2) the average volume of the blobs outside the given threshold has a size smaller than 5Å^3; and 3) the overlap between the raw mask and the mask constructed for the analysis is larger than 75%.

WARNINGS: 1 warnings

1. **There might be a problem of connectivity at this threshold because more than 5 connected components are needed to reach 95% of the total mask. Probably a smaller threshold will not cause this issue.**

2.3 Level 0.c Background analysis

Explanation:
Background is defined as the region outside the macromolecule mask. The background mean should be zero, and the number of voxels with a very low or very high value (below 5 standard deviations of the noise) should be very small and they should be randomly distributed without any specific structure. Sometimes, you can see some structure due to the symmetry of the structure.

Results:

The null hypothesis that the background mean is 0 was tested with a one-sample Student's t-test. The resulting t-statistic and p-value were 1649.75 and 0.000000, respectively.

The mean and standard deviation (sigma) of the background were 0.000454 and 0.002924. The percentage of background voxels whose absolute value is larger than 5 times the standard deviation is 1.08 % (see Fig. 9). The same percentage from a Gaussian would be 0.000057% (ratio between the two percentages: 18768.427710).

Slices of the background beyond 5*sigma can be seen in Fig. 9.



(a) X Slice 315 (b) Y Slice 167



(c) Z Slice 230

Figure 9: Maximum variance slices in the three dimensions of the parts of the background beyond 5*sigma

Automatic criteria: The validation is OK if 1) the p-value of the null hypothesis that the background has 0 mean is larger than 0.001; and 2) the number of voxels above or below 5 sigma is smaller than 20 times the amount expected for a Gaussian with the same standard deviation whose mean is 0.

WARNINGS: 2 warnings

1. **The null hypothesis that the background mean is 0 has been rejected because the p-value of the comparison is smaller than 0.001**
2. **There is a significant proportion of outlier values in the background (cdf5 ratio=18768.43)**

2.4 Level 0.d B-factor analysis

Explanation:
The B-factor line (see this link for more details) fitted between 15Åand the resolution reported should have a slope that is between 0 and 300 $Å^2$.
Results:

Fig. 10 shows the logarithm (in natural units) of the structure factor (the module squared of the Fourier transform) of the experimental map, its fitted line, and the corrected map. The estimated B-factor was -61.0. The fitted line was $\log(|F|^2) = -15.3/R^2 + (-12.8)$.



Figure 10: Guinier plot. The X-axis is the square of the inverse of the resolution in Å.



(a) X Slice 243



(b) Y Slice 269



(c) Z Slice 164

Figure 11: Slices of maximum variation in the three dimensions of the B-factor corrected map

Automatic criteria: The validation is OK if the B-factor is in the range [-300,0].

STATUS: OK

2.5 Level 0.e Local resolution with DeepRes

Explanation:
DeepRes (see this link for more details) measures the local resolution using a neural network that has been trained on the appearance of atomic structures at different resolutions. Then, by comparing the local appearance of the input map to the appearance of the atomic structures a local resolution label can be assigned.

Results:

ERROR: The protocol failed.

STATUS: Could not be measured

2.6 Level 0.f Local B-factor

Explanation:
LocBfactor (see this link for more details) estimates a local resolution B-factor by decomposing the input map into a local magnitude and phase term using the spiral transform.

Results:

Fig. 12 shows the histogram of the local B-factor according to LocBfactor. Some representative percentiles are:

Percentile	**Local B-factor (Å^{-2})**
2.5%	-238.63
25%	-182.73
50%	-156.03
75%	-130.27
97.5%	-81.15

Fig. 13 shows some representative views of the local B-factor.



Figure 12: Histogram of the local B-factor according to LocBfactor.



(a) View 1



(b) View 2



(c) View 3

Figure 13: Local B-factor according to LocBfactor. Views generated by ChimeraX at a the following X, Y, Z angles: View 1 (0, -90, -90), View 2 (-90, 0, -90), View 3 (0, 0, 0).

Automatic criteria: The validation is OK if the median B-factor is in the range [-300,0].

STATUS: OK

2.7 Level 0.g Local Occupancy

Explanation:
LocOccupancy (see this link for more details) estimates the occupancy of a voxel by the macromolecule.

Results:

Fig. 14 shows the histogram of the local occupancy according to LocOccupancy. Some representative percentiles are:

Percentile	**Local Occupancy [0-1]**
2.5%	0.18
25%	0.64
50%	0.82
75%	0.91
97.5%	1.00

Fig. 15 shows some representative views of the local occupancy.



Figure 14: Histogram of the local occupancy according to LocOccupancy.



(a) View 1



(b) View 2



(c) View 3

Figure 15: Local occupancy according to LocOccupancy. Views generated by ChimeraX at a the following X, Y, Z angles: View 1 (0, -90, -90), View 2 (-90, 0, -90), View 3 (0, 0, 0).

Automatic criteria: The validation is OK if the median occupancy is larger than 50%.

STATUS: OK

2.8 Level 0.h Hand correction

Explanation:
Deep Hand (see this link for more details) determines the correction of the hand for those maps with a resolution smaller than 5Å. The method calculates a value between 0 (correct hand) and 1 (incorrect hand) using a neural network to assign its hand.

Results:

Deep hand assigns a score of 0.214 to the input volume.
Automatic criteria: The validation is OK if the deep hand score is smaller than 0.5.

STATUS: OK